### Indiana University of Pennsylvania Knowledge Repository @ IUP

Theses and Dissertations (All)

7-25-2013

# The Impact of RTI Practices on Student Reading Achievement

Tara L. Gleason Indiana University of Pennsylvania

Follow this and additional works at: http://knowledge.library.iup.edu/etd

#### **Recommended** Citation

Gleason, Tara L., "The Impact of RTI Practices on Student Reading Achievement" (2013). *Theses and Dissertations (All)*. 884. http://knowledge.library.iup.edu/etd/884

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by Knowledge Repository @ IUP. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations (All) by an authorized administrator of Knowledge Repository @ IUP. For more information, please contact cclouser@iup.edu, sara.parme@iup.edu.

# STUDENT HAS RESTRICTED ACCESS TO FULL TEXT OF THE DISSERTATION. ONLY COVER PAGES AND ABSTRACT ARE AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME

## THE IMPACT OF RTI PRACTICES ON STUDENT READING ACHIEVEMENT

A Dissertation

Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies and Research

in Partial Fulfillment of the

Requirements for the Degree

Doctor of Education

Tara Lynn Gleason

Indiana University of Pennsylvania

May 2013

Indiana University of Pennsylvania School of Graduate Studies and Research Department of Educational and School Psychology

We hereby approve the dissertation of

Tara Lynn Gleason

Candidate for the degree of Doctor of Education

Joseph F. Kovaleski, D.Ed. Professor of Educational and School Psychology, Advisor

Mark J. Staszkiewicz, D.Ed. Professor of Educational and School Psychology

Timothy J. Runge, Ph.D. Assistant Professor of Educational and School Psychology

Richard Hall, Ph.D. School Psychologist Eastern Lancaster County School District

ACCEPTED

Timothy P. Mack, Ph.D. Dean School of Graduate Studies and Research Title: The Impact of RTI Practices on Student Reading Achievement

Author: Tara L. Gleason

Dissertation Chair: Dr. Joseph F. Kovaleski

Dissertation Committee Members: Dr. Timothy J. Runge Dr. Mark J. Staszkiewicz Dr. Richard Hall

The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether elementary schools that endorse implementing core components of Response to Intervention (RTI) differ in student outcomes on the Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA) Reading Assessment when compared to schools that do not endorse implementing RTI practices. This study also explored whether elementary schools that implement RTI demonstrated greater gains in student reading achievement, as measured by the PSSA Reading Assessment, than non-RTI schools. The following factors were identified as core components of RTI: use of evidence-based core reading curriculum, universal screening in reading, progress monitoring in reading, tiered instruction and intervention in reading, and the use of fidelity measures related to core reading instruction and intervention.

This study followed a quantitative, non-experimental research design that utilized a survey method to collect information on the implementation of RTI practices in elementary schools across Pennsylvania. An electronic survey developed by the researcher was distributed to 1455 elementary school principals working in Pennsylvania. There was a 19% return rate, and the return rate for city elementary schools was particularly low. The majority of principals who completed the survey worked in k-5 and k-6 buildings located in various regions across

Pennsylvania. Furthermore, over three-fourths of the schools included in this study fell within the high and medium socio-economic status categories.

The main premise of this study was to determine how well the implementation of the core characteristics of RTI predicted proficient student reading achievement. This analysis yielded insignificant findings. Another goal of the study was to evaluate whether elementary schools in which principals endorsed implementing RTI demonstrated higher levels of reading achievement as compared to non-RTI schools. This analysis similarly yielded insignificant findings.

Several explanations for non-significant findings are provided in the discussion of the results. Implications for practice and implications for future research are also discussed.